

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/799,386	BRIDGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rita J. Desai	1625	

All Participants:

(1) Rita J. Desai.

(2) Ms. Emily Tongo.

Status of Application: after non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

RP

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An amendment correcting the priority and amendment to correct the specifications along with the amendment to the claims to provide antecedent basis for A and also the narrow the scope of Y to commensurate with the scope of the description of the elected group. Applicants also agreed to delete the preamble in the pharmaceutical compositions and also to insert the pharmaceutically acceptable carrier in those claims.